UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,888	09/03/2002	Douglas E Brenneman	015280-377100US	2917	
Annette S Parer	7590 06/25/201 ¹ n t	EXAMINER			
· · · · · · • • ·	wnsend & Crew	CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N			
	ero Center 8th Floor CA 94111-3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/9	36,888	BRENNEMAN ET AL.		
		Exan	niner	Art Unit		
		Olga	N. Chernyshev	1649		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears o	n the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHOF WHICH - Extensic after SI - If NO pe - Failure t Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MARIES of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of or reply is specified above, the maximum state or exply within the set or extended period for reply we received by the Office later than three months aftended term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nication. utory period will apply ill, by statute, cause the status of the statu	F THIS COMMUNICATIO no event, however, may a reply be ti and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	esponsive to communication(s) filed his action is FINAL . 2 ince this application is in condition for seed in accordance with the practic	o)∏ This action or allowance ex	n is non-final. cept for formal matters, pr		e merits is	
Disposition	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1,3-21,34 and 35</u> is/are pend c) Of the above claim(s) is/are laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1,3-21,34 and 35</u> is/are reject laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from	m consideration.			
10)□ Th A R	the specification is objected to by the the drawing(s) filed on is/are: oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted of a control accepted of a control accepted on the drawing the correction is reconstruction is reconstruction.	g(s) be held in abeyance. Se equired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 C	, ,	
,—	•	-, <u>-</u>				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice of 3) Informa) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/10</u> .	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 09/936,888 Page 2

Art Unit: 1649

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 1, 7, 11, 15 and 16 have been amended and claim 2 cancelled as requested in the amendment filed on May 18, 2010. Following the amendment, claims 1, 3-21, 34 and 35 are pending in the instant application.
 - 3. Claims 1, 3-21, 34 and 35 are under examination in the instant office action.
- 4. Any objection or rejection of record, which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on May 18, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Sequence compliance

6. This application remains not in compliance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 through 1.825. Specifically, there appears to be no statement submitted that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 (e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d). See MPEP 2422.04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,888 Page 3

Art Unit: 1649

8. Claims 16, as amended, stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for reducing a condition associated with fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) in a subject who is exposed to alcohol *in utero*, the method comprising administration of an ADNF I polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1, or an ADNF III polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, or a mixture of ADNF I and ADNF III, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment by administration of a nucleic acid encoding ADNF polypeptides as claimed for reasons of record fully explained in section 11 of Paper mailed on February 24, 2010. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant's amendment did not overcome the instant ground of rejection, see Applicant's argument traversing the rejection at p. 14 of the Response, and therefore the rejection is maintained.

Terminal Disclaimer

9. The terminal disclaimer filed on May 18, 2010 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent 6,933,277 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Double Patenting

10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to

Art Unit: 1649

prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

11. Claims 1, 3-15, 17-21, 34 and 35 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,174,862, see IDS submitted on May 18, 2010. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims encompass methods of contacting the cells with ADNF polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 16 (which is

Art Unit: 1649

100% identical to he instant ADNF of SEQ ID NO: 1), which is the same step as currently claimed method of treatment of FAS.

Conclusion

- 12. No claim is allowed.
- 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on May 18, 2010 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0870. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,888 Page 6

Art Unit: 1649

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey J. Stucker can be reached on (571) 272-0911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Olga N. Chernyshev/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1649

June 22, 2010